

**EN101/Kingsley  
Peer Review/Observing/Analyzing a Public Space**

**Author's Name:  
Reviewer's Name(s):**

Try to make this work for you by writing full and thoughtful responses.

Tell your reviewer the things you wish him/her to look at closely and offer suggestions for revision.

The assignment asks you to describe and analyze the space chosen. Effective description should make your audience **see** the place. This can be done through the use of specific details. Identify/list specific details the writer has used which make you see, feel, taste, or hear the space. If the writer hasn't done this yet, point it out and make suggestions:

Determine whether the specific details are effective. What mood or tone do they set or evoke and are the details in keeping with the idea or perspective the writer develops (identify the mood/tone/perspective the writer develops):

The assignment asked you to analyze the details you have noted to see what they "say about the relationship btw the physical features of the space and the people and activities you observe there." What has the writer said about how the space gets used? Identify the significance the writer says the details suggest:

The assignment suggests that maps, diagrams, and photographs could help readers see how the space is used. Did the writer include a visual element? If so, identify it and determine its effectiveness or usefulness to the analysis included in the paper (has it been connected to the discussion in the paper):

Identify the audience for the paper. Determine whether the writer has provided enough information for this audience (are technical and/or discipline specific terms defined, has a context been provided for understanding the location's significance historically and/or socially)?

Research for this assignment includes both direct observation and background research. Identify any background research the writer did. If the writer has not done any, point this out and suggest the type of additional information needed.

Has writer included citation(s) and a Works Cited or Works Consulted List (3-4 sources required)? If not, point this out.

Point out one or two sections that you found particularly effective. Explain why you chose these sections.

Now, point out one or two places where you have questions about something the author writes or where you see space for clarification or further writing.

What did you learn about your own paper by reviewing this one?